

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/505,627	02/16/2000	Nobuhiro Ito	35.C14241	5922
5514	7590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER & ELLER PLAZA	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/505,627	ITO, NOBUHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian K. Talbot	1762				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicor Reply	cation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addre	9SS			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, munication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will, by statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 21 March 2005.		,			
2a)⊠		b)☐ This action is non-final.		•			
3)	Since this application is in condition f	•	matters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 57-80 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.(57-60) is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		d to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any object						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the laternation from the laternation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have be hall Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National St	age			
Attachmer		<u></u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/05</u> .		e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/505,627

Art Unit: 1762

1. The amendment filed 3/21/05 has been considered and entered. Claims 1-5,8-14,16-31,34-38,40 and 42-50 have been canceled. Claims 57-80 have been added and are the only remaining claims active in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 57-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 57, the term "pretreated" is vague and indefinite because the claim includes elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "pretreatment"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

With respect to claim 63, the phrase "is limited" is vague and indefinite because it is unclear how the sprayed material is "limited".

With respect to claim 64, the phrase "film forming step" is vague and indefinite because the claim fails to recite the steps utilized to produce the film.

Art Unit: 1762

The term "high resistance film" in claim 74 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 57-69 and 71-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Dean et al. (5,726,529), Spindt et al. (5,614,781) or Nonomura et al. (5,083,058) further in view of Shibata et al. (6,153,973).

Dean et al. (5,726,529) (abstract, Figs. 1-10, col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 12 col. 4, lines 50-60 and col. 6, lines 35-45), Spindt et al. (5,614,781) (abstract, Fig. 2A,6,9B,12A-12D, col. 2, line 28 - col. 4, line 10) or Nonomura et al. (5,083,058) (abstract, Fig. 5, col. 3, line 50 - col. 4, line 15) all teach coating the spacer walls with a conductive material including electrodes by a variety of coating processes including, spraying, dipping, evaporation, sputtering, CVD, printing, etc.

While the Examiner acknowledges the fact that the references are silent upon the coating material being liquid and the coating being emitted, it is the Examiner's position that these coating techniques disclosed above incorporate a "liquid" coating material and a source of the coating material, i.e. emitter. Hence, the claimed limitations are taught or at least suggestive by the prior art.

Dean et al. (5,726,529), Spindt et al. (5,614,781) or Nonomura et al. (5,083,058) fail to teach coating the spacer by an "emitting portion", i.e. and ink-jet method.

Application/Control Number: 09/505,627

Art Unit: 1762

Shibata et al. (6,153,973) teaches a spacer and image forming apparatus and method of manufacturing whereby the spacer is coated by an ink-jet method (col. 10, lines 8-11, col. 22, lines 12-23, col. 39, lines 60-67, col. 41 line 40 and col. 42, line 40) See also Figs. 3,4,5A,5B,6A,7A-7C and Fig11).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified Dean et al. (5,726,529), Spindt et al. (5,614,781) or Nonomura et al. (5,083,058) spacer coating process by incorporating an ink-jet method for coating as evidenced by Shibata et al. (6,153,973) with the expectation of achieving similar success.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 70 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art fails to teach the spacer substrate having the relationship claimed after the pretreatment step. While the step of pretreating is commonplace in the coating art, the particular relationship claimed would not be.

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Amendment

Applicant argued that the prior art fails to teach pretreating the spacer substrate prior to the coating step.

While the Examiner acknowledges the fact that the references are silent with respect to the methods for forming the "rounded or tapered cross section of the spacer", i.e. instant applications "pretreatment step", it is the Examiner's position that one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of achieving similar results with the claimed "pretreatment step" as the step of pretreating a substrate prior to coating is commonplace absent the showing of unexpected results garnered from the step. The prior art teaches tapered or rounded spacers (see above).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/505,627

Art Unit: 1762

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Brian K Talbot **Primary Examiner**

B-KRUT 6/6/05

Art Unit 1762

BKT